

## CREDIT OPINION

6 December 2018

Update

 Rate this Research

### RATINGS

#### Societe Generale

Domicile	Paris, France
Long Term CRR	A1
Type	LT Counterparty Risk Rating - Fgn Curr
Outlook	Not Assigned
Long Term Debt	A1
Type	Senior Unsecured - Fgn Curr
Outlook	Stable
Long Term Deposit	A1
Type	LT Bank Deposits - Fgn Curr
Outlook	Stable

Please see the [ratings section](#) at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

### Contacts

Olivier Panis +33.1.5330.5987  
 VP-Sr Credit Officer  
 olivier.panis@moodys.com

Yana Ruvinskaya +44.20.7772.1618  
 Associate Analyst  
 yana.ruvinskaya@moodys.com

Laurie Mayers +44.20.7772.5582  
 Associate Managing Director  
 laurie.mayers@moodys.com

Ana Arsov +1.212.553.3763  
 MD-Financial Institutions  
 ana.arsov@moodys.com

» Contacts continued on last page

## Societe Generale

Update to credit analysis following the publication of the third-quarter 2018 financial results

### Summary credit rationale


[Société Générale](#) (SG) is a global systemically important bank based in [France](#) (Aa2 stable) with sizeable international operations.

SG's baa2 BCA reflects the bank's (1) strong franchise and well-diversified universal banking business model, (2) good and improving regulatory capitalisation, despite higher leverage than many of its global peers, and (3) strong liquidity. The BCA is, however, constrained by (4) some weak exposures, mainly to [Russia](#) (Ba1 positive) and certain African countries; (5) the risks stemming from the bank's sizeable capital market activities; (6) limited profitability growth prospects over the next 12-18 months; and (7) an elevated stock of confidence-sensitive wholesale funding.

SG's A1 long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings include a three-notch uplift resulting from our advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis, reflecting our view that the bank's junior depositors and senior unsecured creditors face an extremely low loss-given-failure. In addition, our moderate assessment of government support translates into a further notch uplift included in these ratings.

Exhibit 1

### Rating scorecard - key financial ratios



Source: Moody's Banking Financial Metrics

## Credit strengths

- » Well-diversified universal banking business model provides stable and predictable earnings but we expect profitability to remain constrained over the next 12-18 months.
- » Regulatory capitalisation is good and improving, underpinned by a strong earnings generation capacity though leverage is higher than many of its global peers.
- » Liquidity is strong and broadly in line with large European peers.
- » Our advanced LGF analysis indicates an extremely low loss-given-failure for junior depositors and senior unsecured creditors, resulting in a three-notch uplift in the relevant ratings, from the firm's baa2 adjusted BCA.
- » The long-term deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings incorporate one notch of government support uplift.

## Credit challenges

- » SG has sizeable capital market activities, which carry tail risks for creditors.
- » Credit quality profile is good, although exposures to some countries with weaker operating conditions than SG's home market weaken its credit profile and pose downside risks.
- » Elevated stock of confidence-sensitive wholesale funding is partly mitigated by strong liquidity, well-diversified funding sources and proven access to wholesale funding markets.

## Rating outlook

The ratings outlook is stable, as we expect no material changes in the bank's credit fundamentals over the next 12-18 months. The current ratings already incorporate the operating challenges from weak economic growth and protracted low interest rates in Europe, as well as the stabilisation of operating conditions in Russia.

## Factors that could lead to an upgrade

The BCA could be upgraded in case of:

- » structural improvement in the bank's funding profile
- » strengthened profitability
- » significantly higher capitalisation
- » a material reduction in capital markets activity

A higher BCA would likely lead to rating upgrades.

## Factors that could lead to a downgrade

The BCA could be downgraded in case of:

- » a deterioration in operating conditions in SG's main markets, beyond our current expectations
- » a weakening in funding and liquidity
- » lower regulatory capitalisation or higher leverage
- » a material risk management failure or increase in risk appetite

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

A lower BCA would likely result in a downgrade of all ratings.

SG's ratings could also be downgraded if management deviates from its committed funding plan in a way that would lead to a reduction in expected debt issuance; or a more rapid increase in assets, than what we currently expect, increasing loss-given-failure for its creditors.

## Key indicators

Exhibit 2

### Societe Generale (Consolidated Financials) [1]

	6-18 <sup>2</sup>	12-17 <sup>2</sup>	12-16 <sup>2</sup>	12-15 <sup>2</sup>	12-14 <sup>2</sup>	CAGR/Avg. <sup>3</sup>
Total Assets (EUR million)	1,182,962	1,156,141	1,198,338	1,159,470	1,107,984	1.9 <sup>4</sup>
Total Assets (USD million)	1,381,174	1,388,291	1,263,950	1,259,527	1,340,719	0.9 <sup>4</sup>
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	43,881	43,718	45,162	41,964	38,130	4.1 <sup>4</sup>
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	51,234	52,497	47,635	45,585	46,139	3.0 <sup>4</sup>
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	4.6	5.0	5.7	6.1	7.0	5.7 <sup>5</sup>
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	12.1	12.4	12.7	11.8	10.8	11.9 <sup>6</sup>
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	34.3	37.2	39.8	42.9	47.8	40.4 <sup>5</sup>
Net Interest Margin (%)	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9 <sup>5</sup>
PPI / Average RWA (%)	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.9 <sup>6</sup>
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3 <sup>5</sup>
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	73.6	76.7	69.7	72.0	71.0	72.6 <sup>5</sup>
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	47.5	45.8	47.1	49.7	52.5	48.5 <sup>5</sup>
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	47.0	40.6	43.9	45.0	47.2	44.8 <sup>5</sup>
Gross Loans / Due to Customers (%)	104.9	102.9	102.3	109.0	111.2	106.1 <sup>5</sup>

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments. [2] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in; IFRS. [3] May include rounding differences due to scale of reported amounts. [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate (%) based on time period presented for the latest accounting regime. [5] Simple average of periods presented for the latest accounting regime. [6] Simple average of Basel III periods presented.

Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

## Profile

SG's operations are organised across three main business lines.

The French Retail Banking (FRB) division includes the group's strong domestic retail and small to medium-sized enterprise banking franchise.

The International Retail Banking and Financial Services (IBFS) comprises SG's international retail activities, which are spread across a number of countries in Central and Eastern Europe, Russia and Africa. SG's franchises in most of these countries are well recognised, but remain smaller than its retail franchise in France. The Financial Services (to corporates) and Insurance (FSI) operations are also key franchises, as the group's sizeable bancassurance product offering includes life insurance contracts, mutual funds and other investment services, which form an important part of household savings in France. This business line also includes Specialised Financial Services (SFS), comprising an array of different services, such as auto finance, personal finance and leasing, some of which are offered globally.

The Global Banking and Investor Solutions (GBIS) division houses the group's capital markets, financing and advisory, and asset management operations. We consider SG a tier-two global investment bank due to its multi-specialist business model, focussed on cross-asset solutions (structured equity and fixed-income) and flow equity derivatives. SG has strong expertise in structured products (with a global leadership in equity derivatives), exchange-traded funds (under the brand Lyxor), commodities, research and market making.


## Detailed credit considerations

**Well-diversified universal banking business model provides stable and predictable earnings but we expect profitability to remain constrained over the next 12-18 months**

SG's three main businesses contribute roughly equal shares to the group's revenue (see Exhibit 3).

Exhibit 3

## SG's net banking income breakdown by business line



Source: Company reports

We consider FRB as one of SG's key credit strengths and it also offers good cross-selling opportunities for products and services from the other divisions of the group. SG's domestic operations have historically proven very resilient to changes in operating conditions, although the prolonged low interest rate environment has translated into tangible declines in net interest income in French retail for SG and the other large domestic banks, due to large volumes of retail mortgage renegotiations. Thus far, this has partly been offset by declining credit costs, from which we do not expect further benefits over the next 12-18 months. However, we believe that a high degree of product and geographical diversification will allow SG to continue to mitigate these revenue pressures.

The product lines within IBFS have mixed risk-return profiles, and some of them in which SG is a European market leader (i.e., auto leasing and fleet management, equipment finance) generate strong profitability levels at relatively limited risk. IBFS also includes consumer finance activity in Italy, carrying downside risks. However, the portfolios of operations are very diversified, both by product and region. Weaker exposures are contained compared with the group's sizeable loan book and the bank continues to plan exit from non-synergetic businesses. For instance, it recently agreed to sell majority stakes in Express Bank in Bulgaria and Societe Generale Albania.

Management has recently announced its intention to focus on post-trade services and a plan to expand its bond origination and trading businesses with existing European clients within GBIS. SG operates in the US through Société Générale Americas Securities, a core operating subsidiary through which it conducts its institutional equities, fixed-income brokerage and futures commission merchant activities in the region.

SG has engaged in a digital transformation of all business segments, aiming to develop a fully digital bank in the medium term relying on open banking platforms and infrastructure and enhanced customer experience at a lower cost. Out of the circa €4 billion euros of IT spending annually, representing around 23% of the bank's operating expenses, close to 40% is invested in new projects to change the bank, of which 45% aim to improve infrastructures and efficiency, 28% to enhance the client's experience and 27% are related to regulatory requirements. The progress of the bank is on track to deliver around 200 data projects (or use cases) in production by 2020, more than 4000 new Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) and 80% migration of infrastructure to the Cloud by 2020.

SG has historically maintained adequate profitability levels and has shown lower (and declining) earnings volatility compared with many of its global peers. We believe that the group has benefitted from the good diversification of its operations, which we recognise with a positive one-notch adjustment for Business Diversification in the qualitative section of our BCA scorecard.

In Q3 2018 revenues were higher in International Retail Banking and Financial Services supported by business growth. French Retail performance was broadly stable in the quarter as higher fee generation helped to offset the impact of the low interest rate environment. Global Banking and Investor Solutions revenues improved compared to Q3 last year underpinned by a rebound in equity business and strong activity in financing and advisory. Excluding exceptional items, group revenues were up 4.4%.

However, we assess that the bank's profitability prospects will remain constrained over the next 12-18 months, challenging the group's overall profitability, due to: (1) the current challenging operating conditions, including prolonged low interest rates in Europe; (2) still

weak (although stabilising) operating conditions in Russia and some smaller markets in which SG operates; and (3) investment costs related to the ongoing digitalisation of its distribution platforms.


Our ba1 score reflects the bank's profitability challenges, while recognising the very low level of earnings volatility, which SG has demonstrated in recent years.

### Regulatory capitalisation is good and improving, underpinned by a strong earnings generation capacity though leverage is higher than many of its global peers.

We consider SG's capitalisation as good, as illustrated by its Basel III fully applied Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio of 11.2% at end-September 2018. SG's CET1 ratio remains however well below the global peer group's median of 12.9% (Exhibit 4) and below its 2018 and 2020 targets of 11.5% and 12% respectively, but above its SREP 2018 requirement of 8.68%.

Exhibit 4

#### CET1 and Tier1 leverage ratios for Global Investment Banks, as at end-September 2018



Source: Company reports

SG had a Basel III leverage ratio of 4.1% as of end-September 2018, in line with many large European competitors but lower than the median of its global peer group. The group is already in line with Total Loss Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) requirement of 19.5% risk weighted assets (RWAs) as of 1 January 2019, with a reported ratio of 22.8% at end-September 2018.

We expect the positive impact on SG's core capital from the announced disposal of various non-core businesses, such as Euro Bank in Poland, Express Bank in Bulgaria or SG Albania to exceed the negative impact of new targeted acquisitions such as Commerzbank's Equity, Markets and Commodities business whose business purchase agreement was signed in November.

Our a3 assigned score for Capital reflects both the bank's current good and improved capital position, and our expectation that its regulatory capitalisation, including leverage, will continue to improve over the next 12-18 months, mostly through earnings retention.

### Elevated stock of confidence-sensitive wholesale funding is partly mitigated by strong liquidity, well-diversified funding sources and proven access to wholesale funding markets

Similar to other French banks and some of its international peers with sizeable capital markets operations, SG has a high stock of wholesale funding on its balance sheet. We believe this exposes these firms to changes in market conditions and renders them more sensitive to swings in investor confidence compared with banks that have a greater proportion of deposits.


SG's capital markets funding stock was €194 billion as of end-June 2018, driven by large trading and investment portfolios. Around 35% of this funding was short term (including the portion of long-term debt maturing within the following 12 months). Our b1 assigned score for Funding Structure reflects the bank's elevated reliance on wholesale funding, whose associated refinancing risk is partly mitigated by the good diversification of the wholesale funding sources both by investor base and currency.

However, SG has a strong liquidity position, which has been improving over the last few years and is now in line with most of its international peers. As of end-September 2018, SG had a liquidity buffer of €176 billion, which covered around 2x the correspondent stock of short-term funding, inclusive of the long-term debt maturing within the following 12 months (see Exhibit 5). SG's strong liquidity

position is also illustrated by its average liquidity coverage ratio of 129% in the third quarter of 2018. Our assigned score of a1 for Liquid Resources reflects these considerations and partly mitigates the weak Funding Structure rating factor, resulting in a Combined Liquidity Score of baa3.

Exhibit 5

### SG's liquidity reserves and liquidity coverage ratio



Source: Company reports

### Credit quality profile is good, although exposures to some countries with weaker operating conditions than France weaken the bank's credit profile and pose downside risks

SG's Strong Macro Profile is largely driven by its exposure to [France](#) (Aa2 stable, Macro Profile: Strong+) and its sizeable operations in the [US](#) (Aaa stable, Macro Profile: Very Strong-), partly offset by the group's operations in Central and Eastern Europe, Russia and Africa, which have weaker Macro Profiles.

French banks benefit from operating in a country with a large and broadly diversified economy, a robust institutional framework and a very low susceptibility to event risk. Nevertheless, France's medium- and long-term economic performance will remain constrained by weak economic growth, which, coupled with institutional and political constraints, poses challenges for the material reduction in the government's high debt burden.

The main risk to which SG is exposed to is credit risk, which represented around 82% of the group's risk-weighted assets (RWA) as of end-September 2018 and mainly relates to lending in France, Central and Eastern Europe, and Russia. SG's customer loan book of around €433 billion as of end-September 2018 is exposed to country and sector concentration risks. Exposures to a few relatively large corporates in its financing activities and notable industry concentrations to the financial services sector in the capital market operations also affect SG's asset risk assessment.

SG's credit quality has improved in recent quarters, and problem loans were equal to 4.6% of gross customer loans at end-June 2018. This trend continued in Q3 2018. High levels of doubtful loans tend to reflect protracted workout practices, in common with other French banks, which are partly mitigated by collateral and provisions. However, SG's problem loans relative to its loan book remain higher than those of most domestic banks due to the firm's exposure to Eastern Europe, Russia and Africa, and its large presence in the mid-corporate French market.

As of end-June 2018, the coverage ratio was adequate at 65%, including specific and portfolio-based provisions. The group's cost of risk remained at a low level of 22 bps in Q3 2018, slightly up compared with the same period last year (+ 5 bps) and Q2 this year (+ 8 bps), but in line with SG's 2018 target of 20-25 bps. SG cost of risk remains at a low level as a result of tightened risk management, improved credit conditions in France helped by prolonged low interest rates, a stabilisation of economic conditions in Russia and write-backs in Czech Republic and Romania. We have reflected these factors in our assigned baa2 assigned score for Asset Risk. We expect marginal increase in the cost of risk, over the next 12-18 months, from the current very low level. Litigation risks also receded in November as the bank reached an agreement with US authorities for penalties of approximately €1.3 billion. This amount is entirely covered by provision for disputes as an additional €136 million provision in Q3 brought the total provision for disputes at €1.6 billion.

SG's diversified operations in Russia, which amounted to €14.8 billion of exposure at default (EAD) as of end-September 2018, representing around 2% of the group's total at the same reporting date, are benefiting from economic recovery although operating conditions remain weak. Our stress test shows that even in an adverse scenario, the negative impact on SG's capital would be limited. Although decreasing, the potential volatility in the country's economy and the heightened geopolitical risk mean that SG's exposure to Russia remains a key risk. SG reported a net profit in Russia of €43 million in Q3 2018 (€46 million in Q3 2017), in line with our expectation.

### **SG has sizeable capital market activities, which carry tail risk for creditors**

Market risk has significantly decreased over the last two years, as illustrated by market risk RWA of €16 billion, representing only 4% of the total, as of end-September 2018. The average value-at-risk, which was €18 million in Q3 2018, is limited. In addition, counterparty risk and operational risk arise from SG's capital market activities, particularly from its large stock of financial assets and derivatives.

We believe that the firm's market risk appetite has declined and its risk management capabilities have been overhauled in recent years, following the financial crisis and in response to the rogue trader fraud in 2008.

Our baa2 assigned score for Asset Risk takes into account the risks associated with the group's investment banking activities.

We estimate that pure capital market activities, represented around 22% of total revenue as at H1 2018. Although this proportion is lower than those of some of the bank's global peers, it brings elements of earnings volatility, confidence sensitivity and complexity that reduce the value we attribute to these franchises. The high degree of volatility in capital market revenue and the inherent greater risks carried by these types of activities currently constrain the credit profile of SG and those of its global peers, and are reflected in a one-notch adjustment for Opacity and Complexity in the qualitative section of our BCA Scorecard.

## **Support and structural considerations**

### **Loss Given Failure**

We apply our advanced LGF analysis to SG as the bank is incorporated in France, which we consider to be an operational resolution regime because it is subject to the EU Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD). For this analysis, we assume that equity and losses stand at 3% and 8%, respectively, of tangible banking assets in a failure scenario. We also assume a 25% run-off of junior wholesale deposits and a 5% run-off in preferred deposits. Moreover, we assign a 25% probability to junior deposits being preferred to senior unsecured debt. These are in line with our standard assumptions. We apply a standard assumption for European banks that 26% of deposits are junior.

Our advanced LGF analysis indicates an extremely low loss-given-failure for junior depositors and senior unsecured creditors, resulting in a three-notch uplift in the relevant ratings from the firm's baa2 Adjusted BCA. For junior senior creditors, due to the subordination of these instruments, our advanced LGF analysis indicates likely low loss severity in the event of the bank's failure, leading to a position in line with the bank's adjusted BCA.

The Assigned LGF notchings for long-term deposit, senior unsecured debt and junior senior unsecured bank are positioned one notch higher than the correspondent LGF notching guidance. This reflects our expectation that SG will continue to issue debt in line with its medium-term funding plan, to which management committed in November 2017, and will be able to maintain continued access to the capital markets. SG has communicated planned issuance of around €11 billion of bail-in-able liabilities despite already complying with its minimum TLAC requirement for 2019 and MREL initial requirement (the first notification indicates a requirement of 8% Total Liabilities & Own Funds equivalent to 24.36% of RWAs as of end-December 2016), to strengthen further its loss absorbing capacity.

Finally, for SG's junior securities, our LGF analysis shows a high loss-given-failure, given the small volume of debt and limited protection from more subordinated instruments and residual equity. We also incorporate additional notching for junior subordinated and preference share instruments, reflecting coupon suspension risk ahead of failure.

### **Government support**

We assess a moderate probability of government support for SG's long-term senior unsecured and junior depositors, resulting in a one-notch uplift to the relevant A1 ratings. For other junior securities, we continue to believe that potential government support is low and these ratings do not include any related uplift.

### Counterparty Risk Rating

CRRs are opinions of the ability of entities to honour the uncollateralised portion of non-debt counterparty financial liabilities (CRR liabilities) and also reflect the expected financial losses in the event such liabilities are not honoured. CRRs are distinct from ratings assigned to senior unsecured debt instruments and from issuer ratings because they reflect that, in a resolution, CRR liabilities might benefit from preferential treatment compared with senior unsecured debt. Examples of CRR liabilities include the uncollateralised portion of payables arising from derivatives transactions and the uncollateralised portion of liabilities under sale and repurchase agreements.

The counterparty risk rating of A1 reflects the Adjusted BCA of baa2, three notches of uplift reflecting the extremely low loss-given failure from the high volume of instruments that are subordinated to CRR liabilities. The CRR also benefits from one notch of systemic support, as an assumption of a very high likelihood of government support. The short-term CRR is P-1.



## Rating methodology and scorecard factors

Exhibit 6

### Societe Generale

#### Macro Factors

<b>Weighted Macro Profile</b>	<b>Strong</b>	<b>100%</b>
-------------------------------	---------------	-------------

Factor	Historic Ratio	Initial Score	Expected Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk						
Problem Loans / Gross Loans	5.4%	baa3	↑	baa2	Quality of assets	Market risk
Capital						
TCE / RWA	12.1%	baa1	↑	a3	Capital retention	Stress capital resilience
Profitability						
Net Income / Tangible Assets	0.3%	ba3	← →	ba1	Return on assets	Loan loss charge coverage
Combined Solvency Score		baa3		baa2		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure						
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets	45.8%	b1	← →	b1	Term structure	
Liquid Resources						
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets	40.6%	a1	← →	a1	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		baa3		baa3		
Financial Profile				baa2		
Business Diversification				1		
Opacity and Complexity				-1		
Corporate Behavior				0		
Total Qualitative Adjustments				0		
Sovereign or Affiliate constraint:				Aa2		
Scorecard Calculated BCA range				baa1-baa3		
Assigned BCA				baa2		
Affiliate Support notching				0		
Adjusted BCA				baa2		

Balance Sheet	in-scope (EUR million)	% in-scope	at-failure (EUR million)	% at-failure
Other liabilities	444,071	49.0%	476,485	52.6%
Deposits	317,788	35.1%	285,374	31.5%
Preferred deposits	235,163	25.9%	223,405	24.6%
Junior Deposits	82,625	9.1%	61,969	6.8%
Senior unsecured bank debt	87,710	9.7%	87,710	9.7%
Junior senior unsecured bank debt	7,850	0.9%	7,850	0.9%
Dated subordinated bank debt	13,120	1.4%	13,120	1.4%
Junior subordinated bank debt	210		210	
Preference shares (bank)	8,690	1.0%	8,690	1.0%
Equity	27,199	3.0%	27,199	3.0%
Total Tangible Banking Assets	906,638	100%	906,638	100%

Debt class	De Jure waterfall		De Facto waterfall		Notching		LGF Notching Guidance vs. Adjusted BCA	Assigned LGF notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment
	Instrument volume + subordination	Sub-ordination	Instrument volume + subordination	Sub-ordination	De Jure	De Facto				
Counterparty Risk Rating	22.8%	22.8%	22.8%	22.8%	3	3	3	3	0	a2
Counterparty Risk Assessment	22.8%	22.8%	22.8%	22.8%	3	3	3	3	0	a2 (cr)
Deposits	22.8%	6.3%	22.8%	16.0%	2	3	2	3	0	a2
Senior unsecured bank debt	22.8%	6.3%	16.0%	6.3%	2	2	2	3	0	a2
Junior senior unsecured bank debt	6.3%	5.4%	6.3%	5.4%	-1	-1	-1	0	0	baa2
Dated subordinated bank debt	5.4%	4.0%	5.4%	4.0%	-1	-1	-1	-1	0	baa3
Junior subordinated bank debt	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	ba1 (hyb)
Non-cumulative bank preference shares	4.0%	3.0%	4.0%	3.0%	-1	-1	-1	-1	-2	ba2 (hyb)

Instrument class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional Notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency Rating	Foreign Currency Rating
Counterparty Risk Assessment	3	0	a2 (cr)	1	A1 (cr)	--
Deposits	3	0	a2	1	A1	A1
Senior unsecured bank debt	3	0	a2	1	A1	A1
Junior senior unsecured bank debt	0	0	baa2	0	Baa2	Baa2
Dated subordinated bank debt	-1	0	baa3	0	Baa3	Baa3
Junior subordinated bank debt	-1	-1	ba1 (hyb)	0	(P)Ba1 (hyb)	Ba1 (hyb)
Non-cumulative bank preference shares	-1	-2	ba2 (hyb)	0	Ba2 (hyb)	Ba2 (hyb)

[1] Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.

Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

## Ratings

Exhibit 7

Category	Moody's Rating
<b>SOCIETE GENERALE</b>	
Outlook	Stable
Counterparty Risk Rating	A1/P-1
Bank Deposits	A1/P-1
Baseline Credit Assessment	baa2
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	baa2
Counterparty Risk Assessment	A1(cr)/P-1(cr)
Senior Unsecured	A1
Subordinate	Baa3
Jr Subordinate	Ba1 (hyb)
Pref. Stock Non-cumulative	Ba2 (hyb)
Commercial Paper	P-1
Other Short Term	(P)P-1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJJK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJJK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJJK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

## Contacts

Yana Ruvinskaya  
Associate Analyst  
yana.ruvinskaya@moodys.com

+44.20.7772.1618

Olivier Panis  
VP-Sr Credit Officer  
olivier.panis@moodys.com

+33.1.5330.5987

## CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454